

Form PTO 1449 (Modified)		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE		ATTY DOCKET NO. 258970US0X PCT		SERIAL NO. 10/509,087	
LIST OF REFERENCES CITED BY APPLICANT				APPLICANT Nozomu SUGO, et al.			
				FILING DATE September 28, 2004		GROUP 1754	
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB CLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
	AA						
	AB						
	AC						
	AD						
	AE						
	AF						
	AG						
	AH						
	AI						
	AJ						
	AK						
	AL						
	AM						
	AN						
FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							
		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	TRANSLATION		
<i>DCM</i>	AO	CN 1334237 A	02/06/2002	China	YES	NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	AP						
	AQ						
	AR						
	AS						
	AT						
	AU						
	AV						
OTHER REFERENCES (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.)							
	AW						
	AX						
	AY						
	AZ					<input type="checkbox"/> Additional References sheet(s) attached	
Examiner <i>DCM</i>				Date Considered <i>1/2/2008</i>			
*Examiner: Initial if reference is considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.							

Form PTO 1449 (Modified)		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE		ATTY DOCKET NO. 258970US0X PCT		SERIAL NO. 509087 New U.S. PCT Application Based on PCT/JP03/05091	
LIST OF REFERENCES CITED BY APPLICANT		APPLICANT Nozomu SUGO, et al.					
		FILING DATE Herewith		GROUP			
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB CLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
	AA						
	AB						
	AC						
	AD						
	AE						
	AF						
	AG						
	AH						
	AI						
	AJ						
	AK						
	AL						
	AM						
	AN						
FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							
		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	TRANSLATION		
DCM	AO	1176617	01/30/02	EP (equivalent of JP 2002-104817: with English abstract)	YES	NO	
	AP	11-349320	12/21/99	JP (with English abstract)			NO
	AQ	2002-043190	02/08/02	JP (with English abstract)			NO
	AR	10-199767	07/31/98	JP (with English abstract)			NO
	AS	2002-104817	04/10/02	JP (with English abstract)			NO
	AT	62-61529	12/22/67	JP			NO
	AU						
	AV						
OTHER REFERENCES (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.)							
	AW						
	AX						
	AY						
	AZ					<input type="checkbox"/> Additional References sheet(s) attached	
Examiner <i>DCM</i>				Date Considered <i>1/7/2008</i>			
*Examiner Initial if reference is considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.							



SHEET 1 OF 1

Form PTO 1449 (Modified)		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE		ATTY DOCKET NO. 258970US0X PCT	SHEET 1 OF 1		SERIAL NO. 10/509,087
LIST OF REFERENCES CITED BY APPLICANT		APPLICANT Nozomu SUGO, et al.					
		FILING DATE September 28, 2004			GROUP 1754		
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB CLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
	AA						
	AB						
	AC						
	AD						
	AE						
	AF						
	AG						
	AH						
	AI						
	AJ						
	AK						
	AL						
	AM						
	AN						
FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							
		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	TRANSLATION		
Dm	AO	EP 1 103 523 A2	05/30/2001	Europe	YES		NO
	AP	2002-43189	02/08/2002	Japan (with English abstract)			X
	AQ						
	AR						
	AS						
	AT						
	AU						
	AV						
OTHER REFERENCES (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.)							
	AW						
	AX						
	AY						
	AZ					<input type="checkbox"/> Additional References sheet(s) attached	
Examiner					Date Considered 1/2/2008		

*Examiner initial if reference is considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.




LIST OF RELATED CASES

<u>Docket Number</u>	<u>Serial or Patent Number</u>	<u>Filing or Issue Date</u>	<u>Patent Appl. Publication No.</u>	<u>Inventor/Applicant</u>
263048US0XPCT	10/519,399	01/04/05		IWASAKI, et al.
258970US0XPCT*	10/509,087	09/28/04		SUGO, et al.

*Present Application; listed for information
NFO/hah/get

Docket No. 258970US0X PCT



JFu

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Nozomu SUGO, et al.

SERIAL NO: 10/509,087

GAU: 1754

FILED: September 28, 2004

EXAMINER:

FOR: METHOD FOR MANUFACTURING ACTIVATED CARBON, POLARIZABLE ELECTRODE, AND ELECTRIC DOUBLE-LAYER CAPACITOR

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.97

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

Applicant(s) wish to disclose the following information.

REFERENCES

- The applicant(s) wish to make of record the reference(s) cited in the attached Australian Office Action and listed on the attached form PTO-1449. Copies of the listed reference(s) are attached, where required, as are either statements of relevancy or any readily available English translations of pertinent portions of any non-English language reference(s).
- A check or credit card payment form is attached in the amount required under 37 CFR §1.17(p).

RELATED CASES

- Attached is a list of applicant's pending application(s), published application(s) or issued patent(s) which may be related to the present application. In accordance with the waiver of 37 CFR 1.98 dated September 21, 2004, copies of the cited pending applications are not provided. Cited published and/or issued patents, if any, are listed on the attached PTO form 1449.
- A check or credit card payment form is attached in the amount required under 37 CFR §1.17(p).

CERTIFICATION

- Each item of information contained in this information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this statement.
- No item of information contained in this information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application or, to the knowledge of the undersigned, having made reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 CFR §1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this statement.

DEPOSIT ACCOUNT

- Please charge any additional fees for the papers being filed herewith and for which no check or credit card payment is enclosed herewith, or credit any overpayment to deposit account number 15-0030.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
Norman F. Oblon



Paul J. Killos
Registration No. 58,014

Customer Number

22850

Tel. (703) 413-3000
Fax. (703) 413-2220
(OSMMN 05/03)

COPY

18 June 2007



Australian Government

IP Australia

Discovery House, Phillip ACT 2606
PO Box 200 Woden ACT 2606
Australia
Phone +61-2 6283 2999
Facsimile +61-2 6283 7999
www.ipaustralia.gov.au

Watermark Patent & Trademark Attorneys
GPO Box 5093
Melbourne VIC 3001
Australia

Your Ref: P24636AU00

Examiner's first report on patent application no. 2003227354
by Kuraray Chemical Co., Ltd and Honda Giken Kogyo Kabushiki Kaisha

Last proposed amendment no.

1002 1002 03

Dear Madam/Sir,

I am replying to the request for examination. I have based this report on the verified translation. I have examined the application and I believe that there are lawful grounds of objection to the application. These grounds of objection are:

1. There is no Notice of Entitlement on file. You will need to file one because an application without a Notice of Entitlement cannot be accepted.
2. The specification does not comply with Section 40(4). The independent claims do not relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept.

The considerations under sec 40(4) as to whether there is more than one invention claimed are the same as for lack of unity under Rule 13 of the PCT Regulations. PCT Rule 13.2, first sentence, states that unity of invention is only fulfilled when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. PCT Rule 13.2, second sentence, defines a special technical feature as a feature which makes a contribution over the prior art.

The only feature common to all of the claims appears to be the mixing of carbonaceous material with an alkali metal compound. However this concept is not novel in the light of:

D1. EP 1 103 523
D2. EP 1 176 617 *121180 9-28-4*
D3. JP 11-349320
D4. JP 2002-043189
D5. JP 2002-043190 *121180 9-28-4*

This means that the common feature can not constitute a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, second sentence; since it makes no contribution over the prior art. Because the common feature does not satisfy the requirement for being a special technical feature it follows that it cannot provide the necessary technical relationship between the identified inventions. Therefore the claims do not satisfy the requirement of unity of invention *a posteriori*.

3. Claims 30-47 do not fully define the invention described because they omit the feature of the carbonaceous material and the alkali metal compound being treated under solid state conditions, which from reading the specification as a whole, appears to be essential to the invention.

4. Claim 1 is not clear because of the term "solid state". It is unclear whether this term refers to the carbonaceous material and/or the alkali metal hydroxide. However, the term "carbonaceous material" would appear to encompass non-solid material such as liquid petroleum.

5. Claim 1 is not clear because I cannot find an antecedent to the terms "the mixture", "the granules", "the dehydration product" within the claim.

6. Claim 25 is not clear because of the phrase "had finely been pulverised". It is assumed that the phrase was intended to define "had been finely pulverised".

7. Claim 28 is not clear because I cannot find an antecedent to the terms "the mixture", "the granulated substance", "this dehydration product", "the surface layer region" and "the center portion" within the claim.

8. Claim 30 is not clear because I cannot find an antecedent to the terms "the standard deviations", "the relative peak strengths", "the graphite D band", "the amorphous G band" and "the Raman spectrum" within the claim.

9. Claims 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 16, 22, 24, 26, 32, 33, are not clear because I cannot find an antecedent to one or more of the terms "the granulation treatment", "the dehydration treatment", "the granulation product", "the rate at which the temperature is raised", "the holding time", "the carbon material", "the system", "the metal" and "the iron, copper, and nickel". Due to the large number of antecedence issues, it is possible that other claims may also contain terms that lack an antecedent.

10. Claims 38 and 39 are not clear because of the phrase "a step for using the molded product to perform the heating process of the activation treatment". It is unclear how the molded product performs the heating process of the activation treatment. It is assumed that the phrase is intended to define that the activation treatment is provided by the heating performed during the molding process.

NOTE: As a consequence of the lack of unity objection I have restricted the examination of novelty and inventive step to the invention listed in claims 1-27 and comment on the novelty and inventive step of any claims relating to further inventions is withheld pending the applicant's response to this report. However, with regard to the issues of novelty and inventive step of claims 28-47, the Applicant's attention is directed to the prior art cited in the lack of unity objection.

11. The invention defined in claims 1-27 is not novel and/or not inventive when compared with the following prior art documents:

D1. EP 1103523
D2. EP 1176617
D3. JP 11-349320
D4. JP 2002-043189
D5. JP 2002-043190

In particular, D2 discloses a process for preparing activated carbon comprising the steps of mixing a carbonaceous material (eg isotropic pitch) and an alkali metal hydroxide (eg KOH), a granular mixture that is dehydrated prior to activation (see paragraph [0035] and Example 11).

You have 21 months from the date of this report to overcome all my objection(s) otherwise your application will lapse.

You will need to pay a monthly fee for any response you file after 12 months from the date of the first report.

You will also need to pay any annual continuation fees that apply. These will normally be first due five years from the filing date. Please note however that earlier commencement dates apply for divisional applications.

Information about fees may be obtained by phoning 1300 651010.

Yours faithfully,

RANDALL ENGLISH
Patent Examination A
B2 - Chem/Biotech
Phone: (02) 6283 2744